





PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Burgau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7:		(11) International Publication Number:	WO 00/55740
G06F 13/00, 15/177	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 21	September 2000 (21.09.00)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/SG99/00037

(22) International Filing Date:

18 March 1999 (18.03.99)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): KENT RIDGE DIGITAL LABS [SG/SG]; 21 Heng Mui Keng Terrace, Singapore 119613 (SG).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): RANGARAJAN, Sridharan [IN/SG]; Block 379, 5 Clementi Avenue #02-348, Singapore 120379 (SG). LIN, Feng [CN/SG]; Block 110, 13 Woodlands Street #12-20, Singapore 730110 (SG). PANG, Hwee, Hwa [SG/SG]; 19 Shelford Road #01-42, Singapore 288408 (SG).

(74) Agent: HELEN YEO & PARTNERS; 80 Raffles Place, #33-00 UOB Plaza 1, Singapore 048624 (SG).

(81) Designated States: JP, SG, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

Published


With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION, EXECUTION AND UPGRADING

(57) Abstract

A method of distributing software over a communication network includes the steps of: making the software available on a file server attached to the network; providing and executing an installation application on a user's computer attached to the network; monitoring file requests made by the installation application; identifying file requests which relate to files which are not present on the user's computer, downloading the identified files from the file server, storing the downloaded files in storage media on the user's computer; and directing the file requests for the identified files, and any future file requests for those files, to the downloaded versions of those files. Similar methods are provided for executing software over a network and upgrading software over a network.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

٨L	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Amenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Солдо	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
СМ	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PŁ	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	ΚZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE '	Germany	. u	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

15

20

25

SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION, EXECUTION AND UPGRADING

This invention relates to methods for distribution, execution and upgrading of software. It relates particularly but not exclusively to methods for executing and distributing software over a communication network such as a local area network, a wide area network, a virtual private network, or the Internet.

Most current application software consists of a large number of different files. Such software is typically distributed on one or more CD-ROMs. The software is installed on a user's computer by running a set-up or installation program, which writes different files to different directories or folders on storage media (such as a hard disk) on the user's computer. When the software application is executed, it makes file requests to the various different files as they are needed. The file requests are typically made to a particular file directory location on the user's computer, and the software may cease to operate properly if a file is not found in the expected location. For some software, the file requests are made to a CD-ROM drive on the user's computer, and the software may cease to operate properly if the correct CD-ROM is not present in the drive.

Disadvantages of software distribution using CD-ROMs or other physical distribution media include the costs of creating the CD-ROMs, the effort and expense involved in creating printed manuals and packaging, and the time delays and transportation logistics involved in physically delivering the media to the user. Different users have different configurations of computers and different requirements for software functionality. Accordingly, different users require slightly different (sometimes significantly different) versions of the same software, and in order to achieve this the software manufacturer typically includes all possible variations on the distribution media, with the user selecting the applicable components during installation. From the user's point of view, there is the inconvenience of receiving more materials than may be required. Typically, a user never finds a use for all of the software which is received, and the functionality of the software far exceeds what is required. After a while, superseded CD-ROMs take up useful space. The user may have to pay for and install the whole of a software package when all that was required was a small part of it, and the user may have preferred to pay a lesser amount for software which takes up less data storage space on the user's computer. Moreover, a user who uses the software

10

20

25

only occasionally has to pay the same amount as a person who uses the software frequently.

It is becoming increasingly common to distribute software over the Internet. This is typically done by collecting all the files for a software application together into a compressed archive, making the archive available on an FTP or Web server on the Internet, and downloading the archive from the FTP or Web server onto the user's computer. The user then runs an installation program which decompresses the archive to extract the files, and then writes different files to different directories on the hard disk of the user's computer in much the same way as the installation program on a CD-ROM operates.

Apart from the issues of wastage and delivery of physical media, this method of delivery of software includes many of the disadvantages of conventional physical delivery. It is still usually necessary for the user to download more files than are actually required for the user's particular configuration, and this can be problematic in view of the time costs typically associated with connecting to the Internet. There is no means for charging the user only for the parts of the software which are actually useful to the user, or for charging the user on the basis of the frequency with which the software is used. Moreover, once a complete archive of the relevant software has been downloaded by the user, it is relatively easy for the user to make and distribute unauthorised copies, so piracy issues arise.

A further problem associated with conventional software distribution methods relates to the manner in which the user decides whether the software is suitable for the user's purposes. It is typically very difficult for a user to decide whether software is suitable merely from reading advertising material, and the suitability can in many cases only be verified some time after the user has purchased the software and gone through the effort necessary to learn how to use it. This can result in a user purchasing unsuitable software. One answer to this problem is provided by cut-down "demonstration" versions of the software which may be distributed at low cost or free of charge via physical media (such as on 30 CD-ROMs sold with computer magazines) or over the Internet. However, because it is usually necessary for a user to invest a significant amount of time in installation and learning how to use a new software application, there is often a reluctance to spend the time necessary to install and learn how to use a cut-down software product which will never in itself be useful.

20

25

30

Another type of software distribution is involved in client-server networking, typically in the context of local area networks. A typical local area network involves a number of client computers connected to one or more file servers. Networking software located on a client computer and the file server enables the client computer to gain access to files on the file server as if the files were located on a disk drive on the client computer. From the client computer's point of view, the file server simply appears to be one or more additional file volumes on the client computer. As a result, it is possible to run client-server software applications, in which some of the files are located on the client computer and some are located on the file server. In peer-to-peer networking, a similar result is achieved in a system where each computer can be configured to allow the other computers in the network to have access to part or all of its disk volumes.

An advantage of client-server networking and peer-to-peer networking is that it is not necessary for each computer in the network to have a complete copy of all the files of the software application; shared files need only be present on one computer. Accordingly, computer storage media space is not wasted, and upgrading can be a simpler process. However, this type of networking can typically only be achieved within an organisation which has the same networking software on all computers. Efficiencies can be gained in distributing software within an organisation, but not between organisations or to individuals which are not part of the organisation.

US Patent 5,752,005 describes a foreign file system establishing method which uses a native file system virtual device driver. A computer has a native file system which provides various native function routines. A virtual driver communicates with the native file system and intercepts some of the function routines, transferring them to a particular location on another ("foreign") computer. This allows the computer to gain some of the advantages of client-server networking, but it is necessary for the device driver to emulate the foreign file system.

An object of the present invention is to provide new methods of distributing, executing and upgrading software which overcome some or all of the difficulties described above.

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of distributing software over a communication network including the steps of:

20

30

- (a) making the software available on a file server attached to the network;
- (b) providing and executing an installation application on a user's computer attached to the network;
- (c) monitoring file requests made by the installation application;
- 5 (d) identifying file requests which relate to files which are not present on the user's computer;
 - (e) downloading the identified files from the file server;
 - (f) storing the downloaded files in storage media on the user's computer; and
- (g) directing the file requests for the identified files, and any future file requestsfor those files, to the downloaded versions of those files.

The communication network may be any suitable form of communication network. Suitable communication networks include the Internet, a local area network, a wide area network, and a virtual private network. It is currently envisaged that the invention will be used most extensively in the context of distribution of software over the Internet. It will be seen that one of the advantages of this aspect of the invention is that a user downloads only the files which are required by the user.

The file server may be any suitable type of file server. Suitable types of file servers, with particular reference to Internet applications, include an FTP server, and HTTP server and a Gopher server.

The method of this aspect of the invention may further include the steps of:

- (h) monitoring the number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested by the user's computer from the server; and
- (i) requiring the owner of the user's computer to make payments based on the
 number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested.

These steps enable the software manufacturer to charge a differential price to users based on the amount of the software which the user actually uses and/or on the frequency of use. Accordingly, a person who uses only a small part of the software or who uses it only occasionally can be charged a smaller fee, whereas a person who uses more extensive parts of the software or uses it frequently can be charged a higher fee.

During the installation process, the software may optionally require that a key be entered.

One of the advantages of the first aspect of the invention is that it can allow distribution of currently available software without any modification of the file requests made by that software. This is because file requests are intercepted. If the file is already on the user's computer, the file request is directed to that file. If the file is not on the user's computer, the file is downloaded from the file server and then accessed on the user's computer. Currently available software might make numerous file requests to the local CD-ROM drive, because the software has been programmed with the assumption that the software is being installed from a local CD-ROM. All of these requests are monitored and converted into file download requests directed to the file server or redirected to downloaded files located on the user's computer.

According to a second aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of executing computer application software on a user's computer which is connected to a communication network including the steps of:

- 15 (a) providing on the user's computer a version of the software which does not include all the files necessary for complete operation of the software;
 - (b) making the missing files available on a file server attached to the network;
 - (c) executing the software on the user's computer;
 - (d) monitoring file requests made by the software;
- 20 (e) identifying file requests which relate to files which are not present on the user's computer;
 - (f) downloading the identified files from the file server and storing them in volatile or non-volatile storage media on the user's computer;
- (g) directing the file requests for the identified files to the downloaded versionsof those files; and
 - (h) deleting one or more of the downloaded files from the user's computer.

The communication network and the file server may have the same features as described above in relation to the first aspect of the invention.

The step of deleting one or more of the downloaded files may be done at any suitable time, including:

(i) as the files are closed by the software;

- (ii) when execution of the software terminates;
- (iii) after a pre-determined time or number of days has elapsed; and/or
- (iii) after the software has been executed a pre-determined number of times.

6

The method of this aspect of the invention may further include the steps of:

(i) monitoring the number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested by the user's computer from the server; and

(j) requiring the owner of the user's computer to make payments based on the number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested.

It will be seen that this method requires the user's computer to make contact with the file server at regular intervals in order to replace deleted files, and this facilitates the pay-per-use type of charging. This method is also useful for overcoming the purchasing barrier – allowing users to try the actual software first before buying it. There is no need for the software to be a cut-down version.

According to a third aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of automatically upgrading software on a user's computer over a communication network including the steps of:

- (a) making the upgrade software available on a file server attached to the network;
 - (b) ascertaining that the software on the user's computer is an older version than the upgrade software;
 - (c) executing the software on the user's computer and monitoring file requests made by the software;
- 20 (d) identifying file requests which relate to files which have been upgraded in the upgrade software;
 - (e) downloading the identified files from the file server;

10

15

- (f) storing the downloaded files in storage media on the user's computer; and
- (g) directing the file requests for the identified files, and any future file requests for those files, to the downloaded versions of those files.

The communication network and the file server may have the same features as described above in relation to the first aspect of the invention.

The step of ascertaining that the software on the user's computer is an older version than the upgrade software may occur at any suitable time, including:

- 30 (i) each time the software on the user's computer is executed;
 - (ii) after the software on the user's computer has been executed a predetermined number of times; or
 - (iii) after a predetermined period of time.

10

15

20

25

30

When it is ascertained that the software on the user's computer is an older version than the upgrade software, an upgrade agent may be downloaded from the file server to the user's computer. The upgrade agent performs the function of monitoring file requests made by the software to identify file requests which relate to files which have been upgraded in the upgrade software.

The invention will hereinafter be described in greater detail by reference to the attached drawings which show an example form of the invention. It is to be understood that the particularity of those drawings does not supersede the generality of the preceding description of the invention.

Figure 1 is a block diagram showing schematically the software components in a conventional networking system.

Figure 2 is a block diagram showing schematically the software components in a networking system according to the present invention.

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram of the components in a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Referring firstly to Figure 1, there is shown the components in conventional directory mounting/mapping products such as NFS, SMB and NetWare. On the host machine, application software runs on top of an operating system. The application software communicates with the operating system through various application program interfaces (APIs). Included within the operating system are a native file system, which includes a multiplicity of function routines, and network drivers. On the remote computer, the operating system also includes a file system and network drivers. When the application software on the host machine accesses a file on the remote machine, it does so by making a request to the file system on the host machine. This request then passes to the network driver on the host machine, which passes the request to the network driver on the remote machine, which in turn passes it to the file system on the remote machine to access the file. The response is sent back through the same communication chain. There is no trapping of file requests made from the application software before they reach the native file system. Instead, the native file system invokes special drivers called network redirectors, which co-operate with their counterparts on the remote machine to make the directories and files visible to the native file system.

Referring now to Figure 2, the present invention enables software to be installed and run on a host machine, without requiring the underlying files to be

15

20

30

physically available on any of the local storage drives. Instead, every file request from the software is trapped by an installable monitor, which dynamically downloads the target file from a remote file server to a local storage medium if the file has not already been downloaded. The installable monitor thereafter directs the native file system to operate on the downloaded file in order to satisfy the file request. Even requests for a file at an absolute path on a specific drive (e.g. the CD-ROM drive) are redirected to the downloaded file, which is likely to reside at a different path on a different drive. The downloaded file can optionally be removed when the software closes the file, or when the software terminates. Consequently, a host machine can run application software without having a physical medium containing all the underlying files.

In a preferred embodiment as illustrated in Figure 3, the file server is a standard FTP server using the FTP communication protocol. The communication network is the Internet. The user's computer has Windows 95 as its operating system, and the FTP server has Solaris as its operating system. However, those skilled in the art should appreciate that different operating systems have similar features and functions, so that the present invention is not limited to use only in connection with these operating systems.

The installable monitor on the user's computer has two components: a virtual device driver (VxD) inside the operating system kernel, and a Windows application at the user level, which includes an FTP client (the user mode application). The VxD is implemented in such a way that it layers itself on top of the native file system. It is implemented as a hook that gets installed below the installable file system (IFS) manager interface. A hook is a programming device that alters program flow away from its original intent. Consequently, for selected program flow threads progressing through the IFS manager interface, program flow is routed to the VxD rather than to the native file system as originally intended. The VxD is then free to either satisfy the request and return program flow back to the requesting thread or modify the request before directing it to the native file system.

The native file system may include function routines directed towards file rights, file locking, file transaction tracking and the like. These are of little importance to the installable monitor of the invention, and hence hooks are omitted

20

25

30

for such functions. The selected functions for which hooks are implemented in the preferred embodiment are indicated in the following table:

CreateFile	WriteFile	FindNextFile
CloseFile	GetFileSize	GetFileAttributes
ReadFile	FindFirstFile	GetFileTime
CreateDirectory	DeleteFile	

The precise processes and program flow used in hooking these function routines are unimportant for the purposes of the present invention. Such hooking may be implemented any number of different ways, as will be known to those skilled in the art. For example, published information describing the operating system may indicate the definition of the IFS manager interface for various function routines supported by the native file system. To the extent that published information is lacking, hook locations may be determined empirically.

For each function routine that is hooked, the VxD blocks the execution of the request inside the kernel, determines the path name of the request issued by the CD application and translates it into the equivalent remote path name on the CD drive attached to the file server. This remote path name is then passed back to the user mode application using known event notification mechanisms. The user mode application then translates the request into an FTP request and forwards it to the FTP server. The user mode application waits for a response from the FTP server and, once the file has been downloaded onto the user's machine, it saves the file to another location on the user's machine's local storage media. Confirmation of the download is passed to the VxD, together with the location of the local copy of the file. The VxD then redirects the native file system to satisfy the request made by the application using the local copy, and the application continues as normal. The application itself is unaware that its files and data are being accessed on-line rather than on a local CD-ROM. After the application finishes execution, or closes the file, or after a pre-determined number of uses or after a pre-determined number of days, (these options may be configurable) one or more or all of the downloaded files are deleted from the user's machine.

Typical applications for this embodiment include games traditionally distributed via CD-ROM disks. The start-up program corresponds to the game

10

application that is launched on the user's machine. Typically these applications store their data in the form of movie files on the CD-ROM disk. These are downloaded on demand by the installable monitor on the user's computer, while the user continues to play the game.

It is to be understood that various alterations, modifications and additions can be made to the parts previously described without departing from the ambit of the invention.

Claims:

- 1. A method of distributing software over a communication network including the steps of:
- 5 (a) making the software available on a file server attached to the network;
 - (b) providing and executing an installation application on a user's computer attached to the network;
 - (c) monitoring file requests made by the installation application;
- (d) identifying file requests which relate to files which are not present on the user's computer;
 - (e) downloading the identified files from the file server;
 - (f) storing the downloaded files in storage media on the user's computer; and
 - (g) directing the file requests for the identified files, and any future file requests for those files, to the downloaded versions of those files.

15

- 2. A method according to claim 1 further including the steps of:
- (h) monitoring the number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested by the user's computer from the server; and
- (i) requiring the owner of the user's computer to make payments based on the
 number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested.
 - 3. A method according to claim 1 wherein the software requires a key to be entered during installation.
- A method according to claim 1 wherein the communication network is the Internet.
 - 5. A method according to claim 1 wherein the communication network is a local area network or a private wide area network.

- 6. A method according to claim 1 wherein the file server is:
- (i) an FTP server;
- (ii) an HTTP server; or
- (iii) a Gopher server.


- 7. A method of executing computer application software on a user's computer which is connected to a communication network including the steps of:
- (a) providing on the user's computer a version of the software which does not include all the files necessary for complete operation of the software;
- (b) making the missing files available on a file server attached to the network;
- (c) executing the software on the user's computer;
- (d) monitoring file requests made by the software;
- (e) identifying file requests which relate to files which are not present on the user's computer;
 - (f) downloading the identified files from the file server and storing them in volatile or non-volatile storage media on the user's computer;
 - (g) directing the file requests for the identified files to the downloaded versions of those files; and
- 15 (h) deleting one or more of the downloaded files from the user's computer.
 - 8. A method according to claim 7 wherein the step of deleting one or more of the downloaded files is done:
 - (i) as the files are closed by the software;
- 20 (ii) when execution of the software terminates;
 - (iii) after a pre-determined time or number of days has elapsed; and/or
 - (iii) after the software has been executed a pre-determined number of times.
 - 9. A method according to claim 7 further including the steps of:
- 25 (i) monitoring the number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested by the user's computer from the server; and
 - (j) requiring the owner of the user's computer to make payments based on the number of times that files are requested and/or the particular files requested.
- 30 10. A method according to claim 7 wherein the communication network is the Internet.
 - 11. A method according to claim 7 wherein the communication network is a local area network or a private wide area network.

- 12. A method according to claim 7 wherein the file server is:
- (i) an FTP server;
- (ii) an HTTP server; or
- 5 (iii) a Gopher server.
 - 13. A method of automatically upgrading software on a user's computer over a communication network including the steps of:
- (a) making the upgrade software available on a file server attached to the network;
 - (b) ascertaining that the software on the user's computer is an older version than the upgrade software;
 - (c) executing the software on the user's computer and monitoring file requests made by the software;
- 15 (d) identifying file requests which relate to files which have been upgraded in the upgrade software;
 - (e) downloading the identified files from the file server;
 - (f) storing the downloaded files in storage media on the user's computer; and
- (g) directing the file requests for the identified files, and any future file requestsfor those files, to the downloaded versions of those files.
 - 14. A method according to claim 13 wherein the step of ascertaining that the software on the user's computer is an older version than the upgrade software occurs:
- 25 (i) each time the software on the user's computer is executed;
 - (ii) after the software on the user's computer has been executed a predetermined number of times; or
 - (iii) after a predetermined period of time.
- 30 15. A method according to claim 13 wherein, when it is ascertained that the software on the user's computer is an older version than the upgrade software, an upgrade agent is downloaded from the file server to the user's computer and the upgrade agent performs the function of monitoring file requests made by the

software to identify file requests which relate to files which have been upgraded in the upgrade software.

- 16. A method according to claim 13 wherein the communication network is the5 Internet.
 - 17. A method according to claim 13 wherein the communication network is a local area network or a private wide area network.
- 10 18. A method according to claim 13 wherein the file server is:
 - (i) an FTP server;
 - (ii) an HTTP server; or
 - (iii) a Gopher server.

1/3 DRAWINGS



2/3




Fig 2




Fig 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/SG 99/00037

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER PC*: G 6 F 13/00, 15/177 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC*: G 0 6 F 14 O4 L; H O4 Q Documentation searched either than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched either than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where provideable, search terms used) WPI, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Cstepry Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages A US \$421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) abstract A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) totality. A US \$881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A US \$881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A US \$881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. A IP 10-269078 To international filing date or priority data on not to case and the substantian or other comments of the substant							
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched classification system followed by classification symbols; IPC?: G 06 F; H 04 L; H 04 Q Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (nume of data base and, where preudicable, search terms used) WPI, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US \$421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) abstract. A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 totality. A US \$881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6,13-18 abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 Decimentation of patents by included one after the international filing date or priority date claims of the published of sits of sender claims or ether special reason (as specified). O'deciment of paticlar relevance is a sender of the international filing date but international filing da	CLA	ASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
FIRTHER SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC ⁷ ; G 06 F; H 04 L; H 04 Q Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		•		·			
Minimum documentation sarched (cleasification system followed by classification symbols) PC? GO 6 F, H O4 L; H O4 Q Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched like that have consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where precisable, search terms used) WPI, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Callegory Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A			onal classification and IPC				
PC ⁷ : G 06 F; H 04 L; H 04 Q	B. FIE	LDS SEARCHED	y classification symbols)				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields stearched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where presticable, search terms used) WPI, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) abstract A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) totality. A US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A JP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. A JP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 Comment defining the general state of the set which is not considered to be of two littles of the production of pasts in the published on or after the international diling date. L- document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is incident to extend the production or other special reason (as specified) Comment defining the general case of the set of claim or other special reason (as specified) Comment of the steen and some consideration or other special reason (as specified) Comment of the steen and some consideration or other special reason (as specified) Comment of the steen and some consideration or other special reason (as specified) Comment of the steen and some consideration or other special reason (as specified) The office activated completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and maling address of the (SA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vionna Facelutile No. 1/53424/355 Telephone No. 1/53424/357	_		•				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where presidenble, search terms used) WPI, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citizing Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. US 5421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) abstract A. WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 10tality. A. US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) abstract. A. US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A. US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A. US 5881236 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 1-6 1-7 See putent family smex. **Special entegories of claid documents: A. document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to involve a lower location are other special reason (as specified) C. Occument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is incided to establish the publication date of sender claims or other special reason (as specified) C. Occument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is not considered to involve as involved to the priority state claims or other special reason (as specified) C. Occument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is not considered to which we also which the occument is claimed to establish the publication date of sender claims or other special reason (as specified) C. Occument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is not sendent to establish the publication date of sender claims or other special reason (as specified) C. Occument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is not special considered to involve a lower of the sendent special considered to which we are not document is such address of the priority and the priority and the priority and the such may be a sendent to comment is such address of the surface and the priority and the priority and the priority and the priority and the prior	Document	ration searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included in	the fields scarched			
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) 1-6,13-18 abstract. A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 totality. A US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) 1-6,13-18 abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A JP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. C Secondard in the continuation of Box C. Secondard in the continuation of Box C. C Secondard in the intermational filing date or priority date of the art which is not considered to the or furnishing of the intermational filing date or priority date and not in contiler with the application but ched by understand the published of the relevance in the continuation of the published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in contiler with the application but ched by understand the published or or after the international filing date or priority date and not in contiler which the application but ched by understand the published of the published or or after the international filing date or priority date and not in contiler which the application but ched by understand the published or or after the international filing date or priority date and not in contiler which the application but ched by understand the published of the art which is not ched by understand the published or or after the international filing date or priority date and not in contiler and the published or or after the international filing date in							
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) 1-6,13-18 abstract. A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 totality. A US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) 1-6,13-18 abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A JP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. A JP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract.	Electronic	data base consulted during the international search (nume	of data hase and, where precionale, search	h terms used)			
Citizgnry Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) 1-6,13-18 A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 totality. A US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) 1-6,13-18 abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract.	WPI, P	AJ					
A US 5421009 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 30 May 1995 (30.05.95) abstract A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 Bruther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special estagories of eited documents: "A document defining the general state of the srt which is not considered to be of perticular relevance, it called the production of perticular relevance, it called the production of perticular relevance, the perticular relevance, the perticular relevance, the perticular relevance in the state of another clatina or other special estagories of eited document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another clating or other special estagories of eited document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another clating or other special estagories of eited document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another clating or other special estagories of eited document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another clating or other section of the entermational filing date but leser than the priority date claimed of the international search the priority date claimed of the international search perior decomment is particular relevance; the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an one of the same beconsidered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve	C. DO						
abstract A WO 96/24231 A1 (ERICCSON) 8 August 1996 (08.08.96) 1-18 US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 **Special entegories of cited documents: A* document defining the general state of the srt which is not considered to be of prediction trelevance. B* earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L** document which may throw doubt to appoint victim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of enother citation or other special resum (es specified). Of document referring to an and disclusure, usc, exhibition or other special resum (es specified). Of document referring to an onal disclusure, usc, exhibition or other special resum (es specified). Of document referring to an onal disclusure, usc, exhibition or other special resum (es specified). Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Resemble No. 1/53424/355	Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate	of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
totality. A US 5845077 A1 (MICROSOFT) 1 December 1998 (01.12.98) abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 abstract. A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 abstract. **Special categories of cited documents: "A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular retevance "B special categories of cited documents: "A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular retevance "B special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular retevance "B" special categories of cited documents: "B" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "D' document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "D' document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other mass "B" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed beconsidered to involve an invention extend to considered to involve can invention extend to considered to involve an invention cannot be considered to involve an invention extend to considered to involve an invention extend to considered to involve an invention extend to considered to involve an invention invention cannot be considered to involve an invention invention considered to involve an invention in the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Restantle No. 1/53424/355 Telephone No. 1/53422/357	A		1-6,13-18				
abstract. A US 5881236 A (HEWLETT-PACKARD) 9 March 1999 (09.03.99) 1-6 A IP 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6 Special entegories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the srt which is not considered to be of preuicular relevance B earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date L document which may throw doubts up priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of enother citation or other special resum (as specifical) O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means B comment published after the international filing date or priority date and not in easilitied with the application but clead to understand the principle or those y underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is exembled with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document is exembled with one or more other such document. 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Werner Cichiner No. 1/53424/357	A	L ·	1-18				
abstract. P 10-269078 A (TOSHIBA K.K.) 9 October 1998 (09.10.98) 1-6	A		1-6,13-18				
abstract. Special categories of cited documents: A document destraing the general state of the srt which is not considered to be of particular relevance articled relevance articled relevance articled relevance to be of particular relevance articled to set of surficied relevance articled to establish the publication doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of enother cliation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Fassimile No. 1/53424/535 See patent family annex. See patent	A		1-6				
Special entegories of cited documents: A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 Leter document published after the international filing date or priority date chart which is not considered to the conflict with the qualitation but claded to understand the priority meeting to the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive at taken alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention are alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention of the particular relevanc	A	la contraction of the contractio	1-6				
Special entegories of cited documents: A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 Leter document published after the international filing date or priority date chart which is not considered to the conflict with the qualitation but claded to understand the priority meeting to the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive at taken alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention are alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention of the particular relevanc							
Special entegories of cited documents: A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 Leter document published after the international filing date or priority date chart which is not considered to the conflict with the qualitation but claded to understand the priority meeting to the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive at taken alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention are alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention of the particular relevanc							
Special entegories of cited documents: A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 Leter document published after the international filing date or priority date chart which is not considered to the conflict with the qualitation but claded to understand the priority meeting to the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive at taken alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention are alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention of the particular relevanc							
Special entegories of cited documents: A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 Leter document published after the international filing date or priority date chart which is not considered to the conflict with the qualitation but claded to understand the priority meeting to the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive at taken alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention are alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention of the particular relevanc	1						
Special entegories of cited documents: "A" document defiring the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. "B" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means "B" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna "I later document published after the international filing date or priority date charmed to means the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be conside							
Special entegories of cited documents: A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other means P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing adress of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 Leter document published after the international filing date or priority date chart which is not considered to the conflict with the qualitation but claded to understand the priority meeting to the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive at taken alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention are alone Y additional particular relevance; the claimed invention of the particular relevanc		La Maria Carrier SP C	S. satura finally annual	.h			
Procument published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date ctained Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and malling address of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstirdie No. 1/53424/535 Telephone No. 1/53424/357	Special entegories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "B" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date. "B" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified). "O" document referring to an oral disclusure, use, exhibition or other such documents, such combination beginning to the publication of the priority claims." "I later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone						
23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facstmile No. 1/53424/535 23 August 2000 (23.08.2000) Authorized officer Werner Telephone No. 1/53424/357	_P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than&" document member of the same potent family the priority date claimed						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AT Austrian Patent Office Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facsimile No. 1/53424/535 Authorized officer Werner Telephone No. 1/53424/357	Date of t						
Austrian Patent Office Werner Kohlynarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facsimile No. 1/53424/535 Telephone No. 1/53424/357							
Kohlmarkt 8-10; A-1014 Vienna Facsimile No. 1/53424/535 Telephone No. 1/53424/357							
Facsimile No. 1/53424/535 Telephone No. 1/53424/357							
			Telephone No. 1/53424/357				
	Form PC	T/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)					



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No. PC1/SG 99/00037

_								
	Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)			Publication date	
JР	A2	10269078	09-10-1998			none		
08	A	5421009	30-05-1995			none		
บร	A	5845077	01-12-1998	US	A	6073214	06-06-2000	
US	A	5881236	09-03-1999	DE	A1	19714521	06-11-1997	
				JP	A2	10091454	10-04-1998	
WD	Al	9624231	08-08-1996	AU	A1	46379/96	21-08-1996	
				DA	B2	702231	18-02-1999	
			CA	AA	2211733	08-08-1996		
			EP	Al	807363	19-11-1997		
			FI.	AD	973143	29-07-1997		
				FI	A	973143	29-09-1997	
			JP	T2	11501136	26-01-1999		

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

CORRECTED VERSION

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 21 September 2000 (21.09.2000)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 00/55740 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification7:
- G06F 13/00,
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/SG99/00037
- (22) International Filing Date: 18 March 1999 (18.03.1999)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): KENT RIDGE DIGITAL LABS [SG/SG]; 21 Heng Mui Keng Terrace, Singapore 119613 (SG).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): RANGARAJAN, Sridharan [IN/SG]; Block 379, Clementi Avenue 5, #02-348, Singapore 120379 (SG). LIN, Feng [CN/SG]; Block 110, Woodlands Street 13, #12-20, Singapore 730110 (SG). PANG, Hwee, Hwa [SG/SG]; 19 Shelford Road, #01-42, Singapore 288408 (SG).

- (74) Agent: HELEN YEO & PARTNERS; 80 Raffles Place, #33-00 UOB Plaza 1, Singapore 048624 (SG).
- (81) Designated States (national): JP, SG, US.
- (84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

Published:

- With international search report.
- (48) Date of publication of this corrected version: 1 March 2001
- (15) Information about Correction: see PCT Gazette No. 09/2001 of 1 March 2001, Section II

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

A1

(54) Title: SOFTWARE DISTRIBUTION, EXECUTION AND UPGRADING

(57) Abstract: A method of distributing software over a communication network includes the steps of: making the software available on a file server attached to the network; providing and executing an installation application on a user's computer attached to the network; monitoring file requests made by the installation application; identifying file requests which relate to files which are not present on the user's computer; downloading the identified files from the file server; storing the downloaded files in storage media on the user's computer; and directing the file requests for the identified files, and any future file requests for those files, to the downloaded versions of those files. Similar methods are provided for executing software over a network and upgrading software over a network.